The EUMETSAT Network of Satellite Application Facilities

> Network of e EUMETSAT



Improvement metrics for water vapour and temperature profiles retrieved from GPS RO profiles through 1D-Var Application Facilities ROM SAF Satellite

J. K. Nielsen <jkn@dmi.dk>, Kent B. Lauritsen and Kjartan Kinch Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark.



The EUMETSAT Radio Occultation Meteorology SAF delivers near real time (NRT) and offline meteorological data for meteorological production and research. The ROM SAF core activity is processing NRT phase shift data from the GRAS instrument (METOP A/B)

Bending angle (Level 1b): obtained from the measured phases and the positions and velocities of the two satellites using Doppler shift and wave optics (canonical transform) lonosphere corrected bending angle: obtained by linear combination of the bending angles corresponding to the two GPS frequencies L1 and L2

Refractivity (Level 2a): obtained from the bending angle as a function of height using the Abel Transform inversion (assuming spherical symmetry and statistical optimization) Pressure, temperature and specific humidity (water vapor)

1D-Var 1D-Var minimizes the costfunction:

$$J(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^b) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}^o - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))^T \mathbf{O}^{-1} (\mathbf{y}^o - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))$$

Relative entropy The relative entropy (or information gain) measures the departure of the posterior distribution $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \propto e^{-J}$ from the prior distribution $p(\mathbf{x}) \propto e^{-0.5(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^b)}$.



FIGURE 1: Sketch of a GPS Radio Occultation measurement



In addition the ROM SAF will produce an GRASS offline archive of meteorological data, and an gridded meteorological dataset for climate research.

(Level 2b): obtained using ancillary temperature, humidity and, e.g., 1D-Var algorithm



Climate gridded data of bending angle, refractivity and meteorological parameters (Level 2c).



- What would be a good way to characterize the gain of knowledge about the sate of the atmosphere from a single occultation?
- Can we identify a good measure from some examples with known quality differrences?

We analyze the Metop-A GNSS dataset from October 2007 retrieved with raw sampling, and processed with both wave optics and geometrical optics.

$$d = \int d\mathbf{x} p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y}) \ln \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y})}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \left(\ln |\mathbf{BS}^{-1}| + (\mathbf{x}_s - \mathbf{x}_b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_s - \mathbf{x}_b) - Tr(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{SB}^{-1}) \right)$
 $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{B}^{-1} + \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{O}^{-1} \mathbf{K}, \text{ where } \mathbf{K} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$

1st term: Entropy difference. $S(|\mathbf{B}|) > S(|\mathbf{S}|)$ Measures how much the prior error covariance B is reduced by 1D-Var 2nd term: Solution-Background distance measured in Background metrics

3rd term: This term is related to the number of well measured variables, but it is also mean of the second term. So the second and third term in combination measures the departure from the expected increment of a specific retrieval.

V	ave	Optic	S

	01100	
20	Entropy change WO-10–Low-Asc	Relative Entropy histogram
20	Entropy Difference	start: 2007 10 18
	— Departure term	end: 2007 10 27
	Relative Entropy	

	Geometric Optics	
Entropy change GO-10–Low-Asc	Relative Entropy histogram	
Entropy Difference Departure term Relative Entropy	180 start: 2007 10 18 160 end: 2007 10 27	

50

Wa	ave (D ptics

Altitude **0**

10

Altitu 05 1

10

escent

scent

60 r	Improvement $(\sigma)/\sigma_b$ WO-10–Low-Asc		
	Temperature	start: 2007 10 18	
- H _	— Humidity	end: 2007 10 27	

0.6

0.6

0.4

0.8

0.4

- Temperature

Humidity

0.8

Geometric Optics

Improvement $(\sigma)/\sigma_b$ GO-10–Le		$\sigma_b ~ {f GO-10-Low-Asc}$
60 _[—— Temperature	start: 2007 10 18



The 4 relative entropy diagrams corresponds to the 4 prior fraction plots on the right. The most pronounced difference is found between ascending and descending profiles, where the descending profiles track the signal deeper in the tropical troposphere. Apparently geometrical optics seems to gain slightly more information (not necessarily correct information) about specific humidity in the lowest troposphere. This is because the wave optical method depends on a more strict quality control.





Conclusions

• There are differences in the retrievals between the geometric and the wave optical method.



- The largest relative entropy change in this data set is found in the descending profiles processed with wave optics.
- The relative entropy measure gives high credits to the wave optical method in the descending profiles, while the prior fraction measure (for the specific humidity) favors geometric optics method.